

I am protesting against the response to my complaint given to me at 11am on 21st August 2022.

I do not agree that I did not declare a valid goal in available airspace. Under Rule 15.5.2 and the Task Data Sheet, no goals available for declaration are 'any coordinate, with attitude'. Therefore, I have met this criteria and have made a valid declaration of goals.

Further, in your reply to my complaint, you used the wording of 'boundary', which seems to be an implication of these areas being out of bounds. Rule 7.2 sets out that the Director may define areas or airspace as out of bounds. There was no airspace defined ~~out~~ or out of bounds either in the drafting or in the task data sheet, other than those listed in Section 2 - Competition Details.

Therefore, I assert that I was not out of bounds or outside the boundary for declaration of a valid goal. On the basis of no defined boundary, I assert that you should apply Rule 12.3.7 that I declared a valid goal but was not meeting the relative attitude limitations, of 500 feet between declaration attitude and goal attitude.

Furthermore, I have complied with Rule 12.2 regarding goals selected by me computer, in that I have complied with the task data sheet and have declared a valid goal.

On mat basis, I assert that as I made a distance infringement on the dropping of my goal, I should be scored in accordance of Rule 12.3.7 that is very clear that distance infringement should be scored accordingly. ~~But~~

Pit ||

